

# Evidence-based Series 2-8 Version 2

# A Quality Initiative of the Program in Evidence-Based Care (PEBC), Cancer Care Ontario (CCO)

# Management of Squamous Cell Cancer of the Anal Canal

Members of the Gastrointestinal Cancer Disease Site Group

An assessment conducted in December 2023 deferred the review of Evidence-based Series (EBS) 2-8 Version 2. This means that the document remains current until it is assessed again next year. The PEBC has a formal and standardized process to ensure the currency of each document (<u>PEBC Assessment & Review Protocol</u>)

EBS 2-8 Version 2 consists of 4 sections. You can access the summary and full report here: <u>https://www.cancercareontario.ca/en/guidelines-advice/types-of-cancer/386</u>

Section 1: Clinical Practice Guideline (ENDORSED) Section 2: Evidentiary Base Section 3: EBS Development Methods and External Review Process Section 4: Guideline Review Summary & Tool

# Release Date: February 19<sup>th</sup>, 2013

For information about this document, the PEBC and/or the most current version of all reports, please visit the CCO web site at <a href="http://www.cancercare.on.ca/">http://www.cancercare.on.ca/</a> or contact the PEBC office at:

Phone: 905-527-4322 ext. 42822 Fax: 905-526-6775 E-mail: ccopgi@mcmaster.ca

**PEBC Report Citation (Vancouver Style):** Spithoff K, Cummings B, Jonker D, Biagi J; Gastrointestinal Cancer Disease Site Group. Management of squamous cell cancer of the anal canal. Biagi J, Keshavarz, H Toronto (ON): Cancer Care Ontario; 2009 Mar 31 [Endorsed 2013]. Program in Evidence-based Care Evidence-Based Series No.: 2-8 Version 2 ENDORSED.

**Journal Citation (Vancouver Style):** Spithoff K, Cummings B, Jonker D, Biagi JJ; on behalf of the Gastrointestinal Cancer Disease Site Group. Chemoradiotherapy for squamous cell cancer of the anal canal: a systematic review. Clin Oncol. 2014;26(8):473-87.

# Guideline Report History

GUIDELINE VERSION	SYSTEMATIC REVIEW		PUBLICATIONS	NOTES AND KEY CHANGES
	Search Dates	Data	PUBLICATIONS	NOTES AND KET CHANGES
Original version March 2009	1980-2008	Full Report	Web publication	ΝΑ
Current Version 2 November 2013	2008- 2013	New data found in Section 4: Document Summary and Review Tool	Updated Web publication	2009 recommendations is ENDORSED



# Evidence-Based Series 2-8 Version 2: Section 1

# Management of Squamous Cell Cancer of the Anal Canal: Guideline Recommendations

K Spithoff, B Cummings, D Jonker, J Biagi, and the Gastrointestinal Cancer Disease Site Group

A Quality Initiative of the Program in Evidence-Based Care (PEBC), Cancer Care Ontario (CCO)

Report Date: March 31, 2009

These guideline recommendations have been ENDORSED, which means that the recommendations are still current and relevant for decision making. Please see Section 4: Document Review Summary and Tool for a summary of updated evidence published between 2008 and 2013, and for details on how this Clinical Practice Guideline was ENDORSED.

## **INTENDED USERS**

This guideline is intended for use by clinicians and health care providers involved in the management or referral of adult patients with squamous cell cancer of the anal canal.

## QUESTIONS

- 1. Does the addition of chemotherapy (CT) to radiotherapy (RT) improve outcome for patients with squamous cell cancer of the anal canal?
- 2. What are the optimal CT drugs for the treatment of patients with squamous cell cancer of the anal canal?
- 3. Does the use of induction CT before concurrent CT and RT improve outcome for patients with squamous cell cancer of the anal canal?
- 4. What is the best management for patients with squamous cell cancer of the anal canal who are human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) positive?

Outcomes of interest are colostomy rate, local failure, survival, disease-free survival, acute and late adverse effects, and quality of life.

# TARGET POPULATION

These recommendations apply to adult patients (age  $\geq 18$  years) with a primary diagnosis of biopsy-proven squamous cell cancer of the anal canal, including basaloid, cloacogenic, and transitional cell tumours. These recommendations do not apply to patients who have previously undergone resection of their tumour. The management of patients who later develop extra-pelvic metastases is not considered in this guideline.

# RECOMMENDATIONS

- For all stages of localized squamous cell cancer of the anal canal, concurrent CT and RT is recommended over RT alone to improve local control and decrease colostomy rates.
- The optimal CT drug combination for squamous cell cancer of the anal canal is 5-fluorouracil (5FU) plus mitomycin C (MMC), given concurrently with radiation treatment.
- At this time, induction CT before concurrent CT and RT should be considered an investigational approach.
- It is the expert opinion of the Gastrointestinal Cancer Disease Site Group (GI DSG) that HIV-positive patients with squamous cell cancer of the anal canal should be managed in the same way as patients without known HIV. Treating physicians should be aware that a greater than average risk of toxicity is possible.

# QUALIFYING STATEMENTS

- No randomized controlled trials (RCTs) were identified that addressed the management of squamous cell cancer of the anal canal in HIV-positive patients. See the Discussion in Section 2 for a description of non-randomized data available on this topic.
- Only two RCTs included patients with T1 lesions of the anal canal, and results were not reported by disease stage. See the Discussion in Section 2 for further discussion on management of patients with T1N0 disease.
- Two RCTs included patients with squamous cell cancer of the perianal skin. A limited discussion of perianal cancer is included in the Discussion in Section 2.
- James et al. 2013 (ACT II), studied maintenance chemotherapy versus none following chemoradiation and found that maintenance chemotherapy does not improve overall survival or colostomy-free survival. Therefore, maintenance chemotherapy following chemoradiation is not recommended in the management of squamous cell carcinoma of the anal canal. See Section 4 for more details.
- In the trials using MMC in the 5FU-MMC combination regimens, MMC schedules include dose of 12 or 15mg/me day 1 only, and a 10mg/m2 Day 1, 29 dosing. There is no comparative data to allow a recommendation of a preferred schedule.

## **KEY EVIDENCE**

- The United Kingdom Coordinating Committee for Cancer Research (UKCCCR) trial (1) and the European Organisation for Research and Treatment of Cancer (EORTC) trial (2) demonstrated lower rates of colostomy and local failure in patients who received concurrent RT and CT (5FU plus MMC) compared with patients who received RT alone (Section 2, Table 3). Neither trial demonstrated a significant difference in overall survival between treatment arms.
- The Radiation Therapy Oncology Group (RTOG) 87-04 trial (3) demonstrated that the omission of MMC from the standard combination of 5FU plus MMC resulted in a higher colostomy rate (22% versus [vs.] 9%; p=0.002) and local failure rate (34% vs. 16%; p=0.0008) and lower disease-free survival (51% vs. 73%; p=0.0003) at four years, although

overall survival rates were not significantly different. Acute hematologic toxicity rates were significantly lower in the RT plus 5FU alone arm (3% vs. 18%; p<0.001).

- The RTOG 98-11 trial (4) compared the standard RT plus 5FU and MMC approach with concurrent RT plus 5FU and cisplatin, following two courses of induction CT with 5FU and cisplatin. The 5FU and cisplatin combination was associated with a higher colostomy rate at five years (19% vs. 10%; hazard ratio [HR] 1.68; log-rank p=0.02) compared with the standard 5FU and MMC combination. Local failure, overall survival, and disease-free survival were not significantly different between treatment arms. Severe hematologic toxicity rates were lower in the cisplatin arm compared with the MMC arm (42% vs. 61%; p<0.001), but overall acute adverse effects and severe late adverse effects were similar between arms.
- Updated data on RTOG 98-11 shows OS/PFS advantage for 5FU/MMC (Gunderson et al., 2012). See Section 4 for more details.

# CLINICAL CONSIDERATIONS

The following issues are beyond the scope of this guideline but warrant consideration in the management of squamous cell cancer of the anal canal. See the Discussion in Section 2 for further discussion of these issues.

- Optimal doses and schedules of RT and CT have not been studied systematically. Readers should refer to Section 2 (Table 1) for details regarding treatment used in the available randomized trials.
- Once patients have completed definitive treatment, regularly scheduled clinical follow-up over a five-year period by an experienced specialist is essential since incomplete response or local recurrence may be amenable to salvage surgery.

## Funding

The PEBC is a provincial initiative of Cancer Care Ontario supported by the Ontario Ministry of Health and Long-Term Care through Cancer Care Ontario. All work produced by the PEBC is editorially independent from its funding source.

## Copyright

This report is copyrighted by Cancer Care Ontario; the report and the illustrations herein may not be reproduced without the express written permission of Cancer Care Ontario. Cancer Care Ontario reserves the right at any time, and at its sole discretion, to change or revoke this authorization.

## Disclaimer

Care has been taken in the preparation of the information contained in this report. Nonetheless, any person seeking to apply or consult the report is expected to use independent medical judgment in the context of individual clinical circumstances or seek out the supervision of a qualified clinician. Cancer Care Ontario makes no representation or guarantees of any kind whatsoever regarding the report content or use or application and disclaims any responsibility for its application or use in any way.

## **Contact Information**

For further information about this report, please contact: Dr. Rebecca Wong, Co-Chair, Gastrointestinal Cancer Disease Site Group Princess Margaret Hospital, University Health Network, Radiation Medicine Program 610 University Avenue, Toronto, Ontario, M5G 2M9 Phone: 416-946-2126; Fax: 416-946-6561,

#### EBS 2-8 Version 2

Dr. Jim Biagi, Co-Chair, Gastrointestinal Cancer Disease Site Group Cancer Centre of Southeastern Ontario, Kingston General Hospital 25 King St W, Kingston, ON, K7L-5P9 Phone: 613-544-2630 ext. 4502; Fax: 613-546-8209

For information about the PEBC and the most current version of all reports, please visit the CCO website at <u>http://www.cancercare.on.ca/</u> or contact the PEBC office at: Phone: 905-527-4322 ext. 42822 Fax: 905-526-6775 E-mail: <u>ccopgi@mcmaster.ca</u>

## EBS 2-8 Version 2

#### REFERENCES

- 1. UKCCCR Anal Cancer Trial Working Party. Epidermoid anal cancer: results from the UKCCCR randomised trial of radiotherapy alone versus radiotherapy, 5-fluorouracil, and mitomycin. Lancet. 1996;348:1049-54.
- 2. Bartelink H, Roelofsen F, Eschwege F, Rougier P, Bosset JF, Gonzalez Gonzalez D, et al. Concomitant radiotherapy and chemotherapy is superior to radiotherapy alone in the treatment of locally advanced anal cancer: results of a phase III randomized trial of the European Organization for Research and Treatment of Cancer Radiotherapy and Gastrointestinal Cooperative Groups. J Clin Oncol. 1997;15:2040-9.
- 3. Flam M, John M, Pajak TF, Petrelli N, Myerson R, Doggett S, et al. Role of mitomycin in combination with fluorouracil and radiotherapy, and of salvage chemoradiation in the definitive nonsurgical treatment of epidermoid carcinoma of the anal canal: results of a phase III randomized Intergroup study. J Clin Oncol. 1996;14:2527-39.
- 4. Ajani JA, Winter KA, Gunderson LL, Pedersen J, Benson AB III, Thomas CR Jr, et al. Fluorouracil, mitomycin, and radiotherapy vs fluorouracil, cisplatin, and radiotherapy for carcinoma of the anal canal: a randomized trial. JAMA. 2008;299:1914-21.