

Evidence-Based Series 5-2 Version 2

A Quality Initiative of the Program in Evidence-Based Care (PEBC), Cancer Care Ontario (CCO)

The Role of Endolaryngeal Surgery (With or Without Laser) versus Radiotherapy in the Management of Early (T1) Glottic Cancer

Members of the Head and Neck Cancer Guideline Development Group

October 16, 2023

Evidence-Based Series (EBS) 5-2 was reviewed in 2023 and ENDORSED by the Head and Neck Cancer Guideline Development Group (See Section 4: Document Assessment and Review for details)

EBS 5-2 is comprised of 4 sections. You can access the summary and full report here: <u>https://www.cancercareontario.ca/en/guidelines-advice/types-of-cancer/521</u>

- Section 1: Guideline Recommendations
- Section 2: Evidentiary Base
- Section 3: EBS Development Methods and External Review Process
- Section 4: Document Assessment and Review

For information about the PEBC and the most current version of all reports, please visit the CCO website at <u>http://www.cancercare.on.ca/</u> or contact the PEBC office at: Phone: 905-527-4322 ext. 42822 Fax: 905 526-6775 E-mail: <u>ccopgi@mcmaster.ca</u> **PEBC Report Citation (Vancouver Style):** Yoo J, Lacchetti C, Hammond A, Gilbert R; Head and Neck Cancer Disease Site Group. The role of endolaryngeal surgery (with or without laser) versus radiotherapy in the management of early (T1) glottic cancer. Fung K, Arinze C, reviewers. Toronto (ON): Cancer Care Ontario; 2012 March 14; Endorsed 2023 Oct 16. Program in Evidence-based Care Evidence-Based Series No.: 5-2 Version 2 ENDORSED.

Journal Citations (Vancouver Style): Yoo J, Lacchetti C, Hammond JA, Gilbert RW; Head and Neck Cancer Disease Site Group. Role of endolaryngeal surgery (with or without laser) versus radiotherapy in the management of early (T1) glottic cancer: a systematic review. Head Neck. 2014 Dec;36(12):1807-19.

Yoo J, Lacchetti C, Hammond JA, Gilbert RW; Head and Neck Cancer Disease Site Group. Role of endolaryngeal surgery (with or without laser) compared with radiotherapy in the management of early (T1) glottic cancer: a clinical practice guideline. Curr Oncol. 2013 Apr;20(2):e132-5.

GUIDELINE VERSION	SYSTEMATIC REVIEW	SYSTEMATIC REVIEW	PUBLICATIONS	NOTES AND KEY
	Search Dates	Data		CHANGES
Original version March 14, 2012	1996 to 2011	Full Report	Web publication	NA
			Head Neck 2014	
			Curr Oncol 2013	
Current Version 2 October 16, 2023	2011 to 2022	New data found in	Updated Web	2012
		Section 4: Document	publication	recommendations are
		Assessment and	-	ENDORSED
		Review		

Guideline Report History



Evidence-Based Series 5-2: Section 1

The Role of Endolaryngeal Surgery (With or Without Laser) versus Radiotherapy in the Management of Early (T1) Glottic Cancer: Guideline Recommendations

QUESTION

In patients with early (T1) glottic cancer, what is the role of endolaryngeal surgery (with or without laser) versus radiation therapy, in terms of survival, locoregional control, laryngeal preservation rates and voice outcomes?

TARGET POPULATION

The target population of this guideline is adult patients with previously untreated early (T1) glottic cancers.

INTENDED USERS

This guideline is intended for use by clinicians and healthcare providers involved in the management or referral of adult patients with early (T1) glottic cancer.

RECOMMENDATION

For patients with early (T1) glottic cancer, recommended treatment options include the equally effective endolaryngeal surgery, with or without laser, or radiation therapy. The choice between treatment modalities should be based on patient and clinician preferences and general medical condition.

October 2023: It is the opinion of the Head and Neck Cancer Guideline Development Expert Panel that the following statement be added:

For patients in the T1a subgroup, treatment with surgery is preferred. See Section 4 for details.

QUALIFYING STATEMENT

There is currently no well-designed, prospective, randomized controlled trial (RCT) that compares endolaryngeal surgery and radiation therapy. Thus, these recommendations are based primarily on other comparative study designs. Although not substantiated by the evidence, several factors are important considerations when deciding between surgery and radiotherapy for early glottic cancer. Location of disease is one factor. Anterior commissure involvement may be a factor that favours a recommendation of radiotherapy over surgery due to a common opinion that voice outcomes are particularly affected. Tumours localized to the midportion of the vocal fold, and where endoscopic accessibility is uncompromised, may be considered ideal candidates for surgery. Other important practical considerations include the ability for patients to tolerate a general anaesthetic, which is required for surgery. In contrast, radiotherapy requires patient cooperation for daily treatment for four to six weeks. Partial laryngeal surgery, including revision endoscopic surgery, is possible for local recurrence following surgery. However, re-irradiation is not an option in cases of recurrence.

KEY EVIDENCE

There is a lack of high-quality evidence to explicitly inform the guideline question. Notwithstanding, the recommendation is based on the best available evidence and a consensus of expert clinical opinion of the Head and Neck Cancer Disease Site Group (DSG).

One meta-analysis, fifteen cohort studies and two cross-sectional studies comparing endolaryngeal surgery (with or without laser) to radiation therapy in patients with early glottic cancer comprised the evidence base.

- No statistically significant differences in overall survival or disease-free survival were detected. One retrospective cohort study (1) did report a significant (p=0.003) 15-year cause-specific survival benefit in surgically treated patients (100%) over those treated with radiation therapy (91%). This result was not consistent with four other retrospective cohort studies (2,3-5) that also considered cause-specific mortality and showed no significant differences. The meta-analysis [6] detected no statistically significant laryngectomy-free survival benefits associated with laser surgery when compared to radiation therapy (odds ratio [OR], 0.73; 95% confidence interval [CI], 0.39-1.35).
- One meta-analysis (6) found no statistically significant difference in local control between radiation therapy and laser surgery (OR, 0.66; 95% CI, 0.41 to 1.05). One (7) of eight retrospective cohort studies reported a marginally significant better control rate in surgically treated patients (89%) over those treated with radiotherapy (75%) when only T1a patients were considered (p=0.05). One retrospective cohort study [1] also reported a significant difference in recurrence rates favouring surgery. Thurnher et al (1) found a recurrence rate of 30.5% in those undergoing radiation therapy versus 9.9% in the patients treated with laser excision (p=0.001). The remaining five studies did not report any such significant differences in recurrence rates between treatment groups.
- Laryngeal preservation rates were found to be better with surgery, (with or without laser) as compared to radiation in five studies (1,5,7-9), while one study found a marginally significant better preservation rate with radiation therapy (p=0.051) (10).
- Post-treatment voice and speech quality was assessed by clinician perceptual analysis in one retrospective cohort study (11), which found that the difference between radiation therapy patients and those treated surgically did not reach statistical significance. In five studies that analyzed patient self-perception, three (12-14) found no statistically significant difference between treatment groups, one (15) found radiation therapy patients scored significantly better, and one (16) study reported surgically treated patients scored better. One meta-analysis (6) found conflicting results. It detected significantly better maximum phonation time and fundamental frequency in the radiation therapy patients but reported that the perturbation measures of jitter and shimmer significantly favoured the patients undergoing transoral laser surgery.

FUTURE RESEARCH

Carcinoma of the glottis is usually diagnosed in the early phase, and both modalities of treatment have shown high cure rates. However, controversies in the treatment of early glottic cancer remain because of the lack of high-quality prospective analyses comparing endoscopic

surgery versus radiotherapy. There is no evidence in favour of one treatment modality when considering the likelihood of local control or overall survival. There is a suggestion that radiotherapy may be associated with less measureable perturbation of voice as compared to surgery but no significant differences were seen in patient perception. The likelihood of laryngeal preservation may be higher when surgery can be offered as initial treatment. Future research should focus on conducting RCTs or prospective comparative studies, with ample follow-up time, that focus on functional outcomes of patients with early glottic cancer.

Funding

The PEBC is a provincial initiative of Cancer Care Ontario supported by the Ontario Ministry of Health and Long-Term Care through Cancer Care Ontario. All work produced by the PEBC is editorially independent from its funding source.

Copyright

This report is copyrighted by Cancer Care Ontario; the report and the illustrations herein may not be reproduced without the express written permission of Cancer Care Ontario. Cancer Care Ontario reserves the right at any time, and at its sole discretion, to change or revoke this authorization.

Disclaimer

Care has been taken in the preparation of the information contained in this report. Nonetheless, any person seeking to apply or consult the report is expected to use independent medical judgment in the context of individual clinical circumstances or seek out the supervision of a qualified clinician. Cancer Care Ontario makes no representation or guarantees of any kind whatsoever regarding the report content or use or application and disclaims any responsibility for its application or use in any way.

Contact Information

For further information about this report, please contact: **Dr. Ralph Gilbert**, Chair, Head and Neck Cancer Disease Site Group Princess Margaret Hospital, Toronto Phone: 416-946-282 Fax: 416-946-2300 E-mail: ralph.gilbert@uhn.on.ca

For information about the PEBC and the most current version of all reports, please visit the CCO Web site at <u>http://www.cancercare.on.ca/</u> or contact the PEBC office at: Phone: 905-527-4322 ext. 42822 Fax: 905 526-6775 E-mail: <u>ccopgi@mcmaster.ca</u>

REFERENCES

- 1. Thurnher D, Erovic BM, Frommlet F, Brannath W, Ehrenberger K, Jansen B, et al. Challenging a dogma-surgery yields superior long-term results for T1a squamous cell carcinoma of the glottic larynx compared to radiotherapy. Eur J Surg Oncol. 2008;34(6):692-8.
- 2. Mahler V, Boysen M, Brondbo K. Radiotherapy or CO2 laser surgery as treatment of T1a glottic carcinoma? Eur Arch Otorhinolaryngol. 2010;267:743-50.
- 3. Brandenburg JH. Laser cordotomy versus radiotherapy: an objective cost analysis. Ann Otol Rhinol Laryngol. 2001;110 (4):312-8.
- 4. Bron LP, Soldati D, Zouhair A, Ozsahin M, Brossard E, Monnier P, et al. Treatment of early stage squamous-cell carcinoma of the glottic larynx: endoscopic surgery or cricohyoidoepiglottopexy versus radiotherapy. Head Neck. 2001;23(10):823-9.
- 5. Stoeckli SJ, Schnieper I, Huguenin P, Schmid S. Early glottic carcinoma: treatment according patient's preference? Head Neck. 2003;25(12):1051-6.
- 6. Higgins KM, Shah MD, Ogaick MJ, Enepekides D. Treatment of early-stage glottic cancer: meta-analysis comparison of laser excision versus radiotherapy. J Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg. 2009;38(06):603-12.
- 7. Sjogren EV, Langeveld TPM, Baatenburg de Jong RJ. Clinical outcome of T1 glottic carcinoma since the introduction of endoscopic CO2 laser surgery as treatment option. Head Neck. 2008;30(9):1167-74.
- 8. Kujath M, Kerr P, Myers C, Bammeke F, Lambert P, Cooke A, et al. Functional outcomes and laryngectomy-free survival after transoral CO2 laser microsurgery for stage 1 and 2 glottic carcinoma. J Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg. 2011;40 Suppl 1:S49-S58.
- 9. Schrijvers ML, van Riel EL, Langendijk JA, Dikkers FG, Schuuring E, van der Wal JE, et al. Higher laryngeal preservation rate after CO2 laser surgery compared with radiotherapy in T1a glottic laryngeal carcinoma. Head Neck. 2009;31(6):759-64.
- 10. Foote RL, Buskirk SJ, Grado GL, Bonner JA. Has radiotherapy become too expensive to be considered a treatment option for early glottic cancer? Head Neck. 1997;19:692-700.
- 11. Rosier JF, Gregoire V, Counoy H, Octave-Prignot M, Rombaut P, Scalliet P, et al. Comparison of external radiotherapy, laser microsurgery and partial laryngectomy for the treatment of T1N0M0 glottic carcinomas: a retrospective evaluation. Radiother Oncol. 1998;48(2):175-83.
- 12. Smith JC, Johnson JT, Cognetti DM, Landsittel DP, Gooding WE, Cano ER, et al. Quality of life, functional outcome, and costs of early glottic cancer. Laryngoscope. 2003;113(1):68-76.
- 13. Osborn HA, Hu A, Venkatesan V, Nichols A, Franklin JH, Yoo JH, et al. Comparison of endoscopic laser resection versus radiation therapy for the treatment of early glottic carcinoma. J Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg. 2011;40(3):200-4.
- 14. Oridate N, Homma A, Šuzuki S, Nakamaru Y, Suzuki F, Hatakeyama H, et al. Voicerelated quality of life after treatment of laryngeal cancer. Arch Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg. 2009;135(4):363-8.
- 15. Dinapoli N, Parrilla C, Galli J, Autorino R, Micciche F, Bussu F, et al. Multidisciplinary approach in the treatment of T1 glottic cancer. The role of patient preference in a homogenous patient population. Strahlentherapie und Onkologie. 2010;186(11):607-13.
- 16. Peeters AJ, van Gogh CD, Goor KM, Verdonck-de Leeuw IM, Langendijk JA, Mahieu HF. Health status and voice outcome after treatment for T1a glottic carcinoma. Eur Arch Otorhinolaryngol. 2004;261:534-40.