



## Guideline #19-4

A Quality Initiative of the  
Program in Evidence-Based Care (PEBC), Cancer Care Ontario (CCO)

### The Management of Depression in Patients with Cancer

*M. Li, E.B. Kennedy, N. Byrne, C. Gerin-Lajoie, E. Green, M. R. Katz, H. Keshavarz,  
S. M. Sellick, and the Management of Depression in Patients with Cancer Expert Panel*

Report Date: May 11, 2015

An assessment conducted in February 2024 deferred the review of Evidence-based Series (EBS) 19-4. This means that the document remains current until it is assessed again next year. The PEBC has a formal and standardized process to ensure the currency of each document ([PEBC Assessment & Review Protocol](#))

EBS 19-4 consists of 3 sections. You can access the summary and full report here:  
<https://www.cancercareontario.ca/en/guidelines-advice/types-of-cancer/176>

Section 1:	Guideline Recommendations
Section 2:	Systematic Review
Section 3:	Guideline Development and External Review

For information about this document, please contact Dr. Madeline Li, through the PEBC via:  
Phone: 905-527-4322 ext. 42822 Fax: 905 526-6775 E-mail: [ccopgi@mcmaster.ca](mailto:ccopgi@mcmaster.ca)

For information about the PEBC and the most current version of all reports, please visit the  
CCO website at <http://www.cancercare.on.ca/> or contact the PEBC office at:  
Phone: 905-527-4322 ext. 42822 Fax: 905 526-6775 E-mail: [ccopgi@mcmaster.ca](mailto:ccopgi@mcmaster.ca)

**PEBC Report Citation (Vancouver Style):** Li M, Kennedy EB, Byrne N, Gerin-Lajoie C, Green E, Katz MR, et al. The management of depression in patients with cancer. Toronto (ON): Cancer Care Ontario; 2015 May 11. Program in Evidence-based Care Guideline No.: 19-4.

**Journal Citation (Vancouver Style):** Li M, Kennedy EB, Byrne N, Gérin-Lajoie C, Katz MR, Keshavarz H, Sellick S, Green E. Management of Depression in Patients With Cancer: A Clinical Practice Guideline. *J Oncol Pract.* 2016 Aug;12(8):747-56. doi: 10.1200/JOP.2016.011072.

Li M, Kennedy EB, Byrne N, Gérin-Lajoie C, Katz MR, Keshavarz H, Sellick S, Green E. Systematic review and meta-analysis of collaborative care interventions for depression in patients with cancer. *Psychooncology.* 2017 May;26(5):573-587. doi: 10.1002/pon.4286.

## Guideline #19-4: Section 1

### A Quality Initiative of the Program in Evidence-Based Care (PEBC), Cancer Care Ontario (CCO)

## The Management of Depression in Patients with Cancer: Guideline Recommendations

*M. Li, E.B. Kennedy, N. Byrne, C. Gerin-Lajoie, E. Green, M. R. Katz, H. Keshavarz,  
S. M. Sellick, and the Management of Depression in Patients with Cancer Expert Panel*

**Report Date: May 11, 2015**

### **GUIDELINE OBJECTIVE**

To improve the quality and consistency of the management of depression for patients with cancer in Ontario.

### **TARGET POPULATION**

Adult patients with cancer who are diagnosed with a major depressive disorder based on a structured diagnostic interview, or who have a suspected depressive disorder based on meeting a threshold on a validated depression rating scale.

### **INTENDED USERS**

This guideline is intended to be used by mental health care providers (psychiatrists, psychologists), palliative care professionals, oncologists, oncology nurses, nurse practitioners, psychosocial intervention providers, primary care providers, and community nurses.

### **RESEARCH QUESTION**

What are the effective treatments (pharmacological and/or psychological) for depression in the adult population with cancer?

### **INTRODUCTION**

Knowing of the significant prevalence of depressive disorders in patients with cancer and of the clinical relevance of depression to health outcomes, the Program in Evidence-based Care (PEBC) developed an initial guideline for the management of depression in patients with cancer, which was published in 2007 [1]. The recommendations contained in this section are an update of the 2007 recommendations, based on the results of an updated systematic review (Section 2) and the consensus opinion of the members of the project Working Group. While this guideline summarizes the best available evidence to guide the management of depression in patients with cancer, members of the Working Group acknowledge the challenge of conducting research in an area of diagnostic complexity across the depression severity continuum. Clinicians must distinguish physical symptoms of cancer from neurovegetative symptoms of depression, functional impairment from decreased activities due to anhedonia, and rational thoughts of death from suicidality. Treatment complexity is further compounded by medical and psychosocial factors, such as pain or

inadequate social supports, that contribute to depression and often need to be addressed prior to or concurrently with depressive symptoms. Clinicians must also consider potential detrimental pharmacotherapy side effects, drug interactions, and treatment compliance issues unique to the cancer context.

The eight recommendations developed in this guideline have been synthesized into a quick reference guide for the initial management of depression in patients with cancer (Figure 1). This management algorithm provides a general approach and practical guidance tool for health care providers treating patients with cancer who present with a depressive disorder. Most of the steps in the tool are described in more detail within the recommendations. Recommendations and Practical Tools can be found at the following locations within Section 1:

<u>Recommendations</u>	<u>Page</u>
Quick reference management algorithm (Figure 1)	<a href="#"><u>5</u></a>
Delivery of intervention according to the stepped care model (Figure 2)	<a href="#"><u>6</u></a>
Recommendation 1: Screening of patients	<a href="#"><u>7</u></a>
Recommendation 2: General management principles	<a href="#"><u>7</u></a>
Recommendation 3: Pharmacological or psychological/psychosocial interventions	<a href="#"><u>8</u></a>
Recommendation 4: Depression severity and a stepped care approach	<a href="#"><u>8</u></a>
Recommendation 5: Collaborative care interventions	<a href="#"><u>9</u></a>
Recommendation 6: Specialist referral	<a href="#"><u>9</u></a>
Recommendation 7: Selection of psychological therapies	<a href="#"><u>10</u></a>
Recommendation 8: Use of antidepressant medication	<a href="#"><u>10</u></a>
Practical Tools:	<a href="#"><u>18</u></a>
Appendix 1 DSM-5 Diagnostic Criteria for Major Depressive Episode	<a href="#"><u>18</u></a>
Appendix 2 Select Validated Depression Screening Scales	<a href="#"><u>19</u></a>
Appendix 3 Psychological Features of the Continuum of Depression	<a href="#"><u>20</u></a>
Appendix 4 Psychological Interventions for Depression in Cancer	<a href="#"><u>21</u></a>
Appendix 5 Practical Tools for Clinicians Prescribing an Antidepressant	<a href="#"><u>24</u></a>
Appendix 6 Antidepressant Classes Used for Patients with Cancer	<a href="#"><u>26</u></a>
Appendix 7 Antidepressant-Oncology Drug Interactions	<a href="#"><u>28</u></a>

## TAXONOMY

Depressive disorders consist of a continuum of symptoms that mental health researchers have classified into categories. This remains an area of ongoing debate and modifications, as evidenced by revisions in the *International Classification of Diseases, 10th edition (ICD-10) Classification of Mental and Behavioural Disorders* [2] and the *Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders (DSM-4)* [3] of the American Psychiatric Association classification systems. Also, various guidelines have adopted pragmatic subdivisions of dimensions that may not be perfectly aligned with each other.

While the target population of this systematic review is interview diagnosed major depression or depression severity above threshold on depression rating scales, the recommendations have been adapted from the National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE) Clinical Guideline 91 (CG91), *Depression in Adults with a Chronic Physical Health Problem* [4], which are based on DSM-4-TR and include other mood disorders. The NICE stepped care model describes five steps based on depression severity, duration and course, which can be aligned with the care pathways mapped out the Canadian Association of Psychosocial Oncology's depression symptom management guideline (SMG), *A Pan-Canadian Practice Guideline: Screening, Assessment and Care of Psychosocial Distress (Depression, Anxiety) in Adults with Cancer* [5], accordingly:

NICE stepped care model [4]	SMG care pathways [5]
Step 1	Mild
Step 2	Moderate
Step 3-4	Severe

**Figure 1. Quick reference management algorithm.**





Figure 2. Delivery of intervention corresponding to the Stepped Care Model.



<sup>1</sup>Complex depression includes depression that shows an inadequate response to multiple treatments, is complicated by psychotic symptoms, and/or is associated with significant psychiatric comorbidity or psychosocial factors. Stepped care algorithm adapted from NICE CG91, p.110 [1].

## RECOMMENDATIONS, KEY EVIDENCE, AND JUSTIFICATION

### Recommendation 1. Screening of patients with cancer for distress or depression

Patients with cancer should be screened for depression. Many cancer programs incorporate depression screening into Screening for Distress programs. A clear diagnosis of depression is required to guide treatment. See Appendix 3 for psychological features that distinguish the continuum of depressive symptoms. To improve health outcomes, screening must be linked to effective interventions [6].

#### *Summary of Key Evidence for Recommendation 1*

Screening for Distress, the 6<sup>th</sup> Vital Sign [7] is a standard of care in multiple cancer care guidelines. This recommendation is the suggestion of the members of the Working Group, based on recommendations contained within these publications: the NICE *Guidance on Cancer Services* [8]; the National Comprehensive Cancer Network's *Distress Management* [9]; the Institute of Medicine's *Cancer Care for the Whole Patient: Meeting Psychosocial Health Needs* [10] Canadian Association of Psychosocial Oncology, *Standards of Psychosocial Health Services for Persons with Cancer and their Families* [11]; and Cancer Care Ontario, *Psychosocial Health Care for Cancer Patients and Their Families* [12].

#### *Qualifying Statements for Recommendation 1*

It is recognized that the evidence base for the effectiveness of depression screening in reducing depression outcomes in cancer is lacking and is a topic of much recent debate in the field of distress screening [13,14]. Review of this literature is beyond the scope of this guideline; however, it is the opinion of the members of the Working Group that lack of evidence is not equivalent to lack of effectiveness.

These guidelines apply to patients who are in the moderate to severe care pathways according to *A Pan-Canadian Practice Guideline: Screening, Assessment and Care of Psychosocial Distress (Depression, Anxiety) in Adults with Cancer* [5].

### Recommendation 2. General management principles

The following general management principles are recommended:

1. Provide psychoeducation about the nature of depression in patients with cancer and consider providing handouts such as those published by the National Cancer Institute [15].
2. Inform patients about the impact of depression on cancer outcomes, including reduced quality of life, intensification of physical symptoms, longer hospital stays, and reduced survival rates [16].
3. Destigmatize clinical depression in cancer by framing it as a serious problem requiring treatment, rather than as a personal weakness or failure to cope.
4. Investigate medical contributors to depression such as hypothyroidism, or vitamin B<sub>12</sub>, folate, or iron deficiency.
5. Assess and optimize cancer-related physical symptom control.
6. Encourage family members' involvement and education, communication with family members regarding prognosis, and resolution of problems within the support network.
7. Discuss treatment options, attending to patients' preferences and previous treatment experiences.
8. Consider use of a validated depression rating scale to monitor change over time (Appendix 2).

#### *Summary of Key Evidence for Recommendation 2*

Recommendations for general management are the consensus-based opinion of the members of the Working Group and are adapted from the NICE Clinical Guideline 91 (CG91), *Depression in Adults with a Chronic Physical Health Problem* [4], and from the European Palliative Care Research Collaborative (EPCRC) guideline *The Management of Depression in Palliative Care* [17].

### **Recommendation 3. Pharmacological or psychological/psychosocial interventions**

Patients with cancer who are diagnosed with major depression may benefit from pharmacological or psychosocial interventions either alone or in combination.

#### ***Summary of Key Evidence for Recommendation 3***

Insufficient new evidence was found in this updated systematic review to alter the conclusions of the previous version of this guideline regarding pharmacological therapies for patients with both cancer and depression. The evidence derived from the small number of placebo-controlled randomized trials conducted in patients with cancer demonstrates a significant overall beneficial effect of antidepressants on depression (odds ratio, 1.91; 95% confidence interval, 1.09 to 3.36). In the absence of a strong cancer-specific evidence base, this recommendation is the consensus of the members of the Working Group, and is consistent with NICE CG91 [4] and EPCRC guidelines [17] on the management of depression in patients with medical comorbidity and palliative care, respectively.

A significant difference was found between means for psychological interventions evaluated after two to 13 weeks (standardized mean difference [SMD] -1.40 [95% CI -2.50 to -0.29]), but the difference did not remain statistically significant when the effects were evaluated after longer time periods, ranging from six to 12 months (SMD -0.55, [95% CI -1.14 to 0.04]). The level of heterogeneity in these analyses was high, with  $I^2$  values of 96% and 80%, respectively.

#### ***Qualifying Statements for Recommendation 3***

- The effectiveness of psychosocial and pharmacological interventions for moderate depression is equal [18].
- Pharmacologic interventions are most effective for more severe depression [19].
- Combined psychosocial and pharmacologic interventions should be considered for severe depression in patients with cancer [20].

### **Recommendation 4. Depression severity and a stepped care approach**

Interventions for depression in patients with cancer should be delivered according to a stepped care model. This involves assessment of the severity of depression for each patient (Appendix 3), provision of support and psychoeducation to all patients, delivery of lower-intensity interventions for persistent subthreshold and mild to moderate depression, followed by progression to higher intensity interventions for nonresponsive or moderate to severe depression (Figure 2). Low-intensity psychosocial interventions include structured group physical activity programs, group-based peer support or self-help programs, and guided self-help programs based on cognitive behavioural therapy (CBT), behavioural activation, or problem-solving techniques. High-intensity psychosocial interventions include individual or group CBT, behavioural couples' therapy, and individual or group supportive-expressive psychotherapies.

#### ***Summary of Key Evidence for Recommendation 4***

This recommendation is based on NICE CG91 [4]. For more information on stepped care models for treatment of depression in patients with a physical illness, see NICE CG91, Chapter 6.

#### ***Qualifying Statement for Recommendation 4***

Antidepressant medication should be reserved for moderate to severe depression, but can be considered for subthreshold or mild depressive symptoms persisting after initial interventions or that interfere with engagement in cancer treatment.

#### **Recommendation 5. Collaborative care interventions**

Collaborative care interventions should be considered for patients with cancer who are diagnosed with major depression. Collaborative care involves active collaboration between the oncologist or primary care provider and a patient care manager (nurse, social worker, psychologist), with pharmacological treatment supervised by a consulting psychiatrist as needed. The care manager provides psychoeducation, delivers structured psychosocial interventions such as behavioural activation or problem-solving therapy, and monitors progress. Weekly case review meetings are held to adjust treatment plans for inadequate improvement. These are multi-component interventions, which can be offered at a range of intensity levels, depending on the presentation of the patient and local resources. They typically include measurement-based care, and involve increases in the level or intensity of intervention as needed according to the principles of stepped care.

#### ***Summary of Key Evidence for Recommendation 5***

A meta-analysis of six reports of four randomized trials of collaborative care interventions in patients with major depression and cancer found that patients receiving the collaborative care intervention (compared with usual care or enhanced usual care) were significantly more likely to experience a 50% reduction in score on a validated depression rating scale, had lower mean scores, and were significantly more likely to experience remission of depression at time periods ranging from three to 24 months (Section 2, Figures 4 to 6, Section 2, Appendix 7, Figures 1 to 14). Most of the patients in these studies had at least moderately severe depression at baseline.

#### ***Qualifying Statements for Recommendation 5***

- Within a stepped care approach, collaborative care interventions may be most appropriate for patients with cancer and with subthreshold/mild depression persisting after other interventions, or with moderate to severe depression.
- Implementation of a collaborative care model may require significant reorganization of mental health care service delivery in cancer treatment facilities. Details regarding implementation of a collaborative care model of service delivery are outside the scope of this guideline, but information can be obtained at <http://www.teamcarehealth.org/> or <http://impact-uw.org/>

#### **Recommendation 6. Specialist referral**

In a stepped care model, referral to psychosocial specialists, including mental health specialists, should occur in the following instances:

1. When there is risk of harm,
2. In complex psychosocial cases,
3. Where the patient experiences persistent symptoms after initial intervention,

4. When diagnosis is unclear,
5. For delivery of specific psychotherapies requiring specialized training.

### ***Summary of Key Evidence for Recommendation 6***

This recommendation was adapted by the Working Group from EPCRC recommendation 2.6 (Refer to a mental health specialist if) [17] and NICE CG91 recommendation 5.6.1.12 (Risk assessment and monitoring) [4].

### **Recommendation 7. Selection of psychological therapies**

Because there is insufficient evidence for superiority of one modality over another, selection of psychological therapy should be based on patient factors and local resource availability.

- Among patients with cancer presenting with depressive symptoms, most are mild to moderate. The stepped care model recommends that psychological interventions be considered first for mild to moderate depression [21].
- Psychological therapies should be delivered by health care professionals competent in the modality, but non-mental health specialists can be trained in basic psychosocial interventions.

### ***Summary of Key Evidence for Recommendation 7***

This recommendation is the consensus-based opinion of the members of the Working Group. Examples of psychological therapies are provided in Appendix 4.

### ***Qualifying Statements for Recommendation 7***

- Delivery of therapy:
  - Empathic communication, psychoeducation, problem-solving, and behavioural activation are therapeutic techniques that may be delivered by trained health care professionals.
  - Supportive-expressive and structured psychotherapies (e.g., CBT, interpersonal therapy, psychodynamic therapy) require specially trained therapists.
- Patient factors guiding selection:
  - CBT may be useful for patients wanting a symptom-based approach.
  - Supportive-expressive therapies may be of value with more psychologically minded patients (i.e. patients with the capacity for self-reflection and introspection, and the ability to gain insight into their motivations and behaviours).
  - Individual therapies may be more practical in patients who are in the palliative phase.

### **Recommendation 8. Use of antidepressant medication**

Do not use antidepressants routinely to treat subthreshold depressive symptoms or mild depression, due to the higher risk-benefit ratio at this level of depression severity. Antidepressant medication should be considered first for severe depression. Table 1 provides practical guidance on selecting commonly used antidepressants for patients with cancer (see Appendix 5, Appendix 6, and Appendix 7 for further guidance on antidepressant prescribing practices, classes of antidepressants for use in cancer patients, and information on antidepressant drug interactions, respectively). In clinical practice, a selective serotonin

reuptake inhibitor (SSRI) such as citalopram/escitalopram should be the first resort due to best tolerability and the least potential for drug interactions.

**Table 1. Standard first-line antidepressants for patients with cancer.**

Generic Name	Standard Adult Dose	Therapeutic Considerations
Citalopram/ Escitalopram	Start: 10 to 20 mg daily (od) / (5 to 10 mg nightly [qhs]) Goal: 20 to 40 mg / (10 to 20 mg) Max: 40 mg od / (20 mg qhs)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• May help with hot flashes</li> <li>• Escitalopram may have more rapid onset than other SSRIs (1 to 3 weeks)</li> </ul>
Venlafaxine/ Desvenlafaxine	Start: 37.5 to 75 mg mornings (qam) / (50 mg) Goal: 75 to 225 mg / (50 to 100 mg) Max: 300 mg qam / (100 mg)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Optimal choice for patients on tamoxifen (see qualifying statement below)</li> <li>• Consider for prominent hot flashes</li> </ul>
Bupropion XL	Start: 150 mg qam Goal: 150 to 300 mg Max: 450 mg qam	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Consider for prominent fatigue</li> <li>• Aids sexual function</li> <li>• Smoking cessation aid</li> <li>• Weight neutral</li> </ul>
Duloxetine	Start: 30 mg qam Goal: 30 to 60 mg Max: 120 mg qam	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Separate indications for neuropathic and chronic pain</li> </ul>
Mirtazapine	Start: 7.5 to 15 mg orally (po) qhs Goal: 15 to 45 mg Max: 60 mg po qhs	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Consider for prominent insomnia, anorexia/cachexia, anxiety, nausea, diarrhea, pruritus</li> <li>• Rapid dissolve formulation available</li> </ul>

***Summary of Key Evidence for Recommendation 8***

This recommendation is based on the consensus opinion of the members of the Working Group, supported by NICE CG91 [4] and other guidelines and reviews on pharmacotherapy in medical and cancer populations [22]. Despite the limitations of the evidence-base, the members of the Working Group recognize that both antidepressants and antipsychotic agents are widely prescribed for patients with cancer [23,24]; this is most particularly the case for patients with advanced illness [25]. Only case series and open trials have been published for newer antidepressants, such as escitalopram, citalopram, venlafaxine, desvenlafaxine, mirtazapine, bupropion, and duloxetine, which are routinely used in cancer patients. Indications for these agents include not only depression but also anxiety and hot flashes in the case of SSRIs and serotonin-norepinephrine reuptake inhibitors [26,27], neuropathic pain with serotonin-norepinephrine reuptake inhibitors and tricyclic antidepressants [28], and nausea, sleep disturbances, and appetite enhancement in the case of mirtazapine and atypical antipsychotics [27].

***Qualifying Statement for Recommendation 8***

Some studies have raised concerns about interactions between tamoxifen and antidepressants that inhibit cytochrome P450 2D6 (CYP2D6), reducing the conversion of tamoxifen to the active metabolite endoxifen and, thereby, increasing the risks of recurrence

and mortality [29,30]. However, meta-analyses have suggested that the reductions in endoxifen do not translate into increased breast cancer recurrence rates or mortality rates, possibly because the therapeutic dosing of tamoxifen fully saturates the estrogen receptor [31,32]. Existing recommendations have been conservative, cautioning avoidance of potent CYP2D6 inhibitors (e.g., paroxetine, fluoxetine, high-dose sertraline, bupropion) with tamoxifen. Although these antidepressants are not recommended as first-line agents, clinical judgement can be exercised in their use with patients for whom safer alternatives are not an option, after discussion with the treating oncologist has occurred and informed consent been obtained. More potent CYP2D6 inhibitors may be safer to use in postmenopausal women or women with a known extensive metabolizer CYP2D6 genotype [33]. When possible, it is prudent to prefer antidepressants with low CYP2D6 inhibition (e.g., citalopram/escitalopram, venlafaxine/desvenlafaxine, or mirtazapine) as first-line agents.

## DISCUSSION

This guideline does not include recommendations for the management of depressive symptoms in the normative or nonpathological range of severity. Studies addressing this level of depression have been highly heterogeneous, group-as-a-whole studies, and were beyond the scope of this systematic review. Such studies have been extensively reviewed in previous publications [34,35], with management recommendations provided in other guidelines [5].

Recommendations for the management of threshold depressive disorders are integrated into the quick reference guide provided in Figure 1. This management algorithm includes steps not fully articulated in these recommendations, because they represent accepted standard of care and have been extensively reviewed elsewhere. For example, assessment for suicidality requires either direct inquiry, or the use of depression rating scales that contain items assessing suicidal ideation (e.g., Patient Health Questionnaire 9, Beck Depression Inventory II). Further guidance on the management of suicidal ideation in patients with cancer is available through the International Psycho-Oncology Society's core curriculum webcast series [36]. Empathic communication by health care providers is an important component of management at all levels of depression severity in patients with cancer. The significance of good patient-provider communication has been extensively reviewed in other guidelines [37] and excellent online training resources for cancer care providers are available [38]. More specific management tools, including strategies for the management of depression in patients who do not respond to initial treatments, are provided in Appendices 1 to 7 accompanying this guideline. These tools were developed by consensus by the members of the Working Group.

There has been a dearth of new and high-quality individual pharmacotherapy or psychotherapy research in this field since the previous version of this guideline was published. Investigators conducting antidepressant trials in patients with cancer have reported lack of success in recruiting subjects [39] and report numerous potential barriers to study completion, including patient and clinician refusal to consider placebo trials for medications that are already in widespread clinical use [39]. As a result, the literature continues to accumulate modestly powered open-label nonrandomized pilot studies, such as a 2014 study of citalopram and mirtazapine [40]. Psychological intervention studies are similarly hampered by difficulties establishing appropriate nonintervention control groups in a population with both depression and cancer and strong placebo effects in comparative control groups.

Despite the decades-long history of psychosocial oncology research, little has changed over the past decade and high-quality pharmacotherapy or psychotherapy studies on the treatment of depression in patients with cancer are still lacking. As a result, clinical practice must be guided by the existing evidence base and must be extrapolated from evidence of treatment efficacy in primary psychiatric and other medical populations. Recent research in

this field has shifted to the study of more effective models of interprofessional collaborative care delivery. Effective management of depression in cancer is required to optimize patient quality of life, improve cancer outcomes, and support a person-centred model of cancer care delivery.

## REFERENCES

1. Rodin G, Lloyd N, Katz M, Green E, Mackay JA, Wong RK, et al. The treatment of depression in cancer patients: a systematic review. *Support Care Cancer*. 2007;15(2):123-36.
2. World Health Organization (WHO). *The ICD-10 Classification of Mental and Behavioural Disorders - Clinical Descriptions and Diagnostic Guidelines*. Geneva: WHO; 1992.
3. American Psychiatric Association (APA). *Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders - Text Revision (DSM-4-TR)*. 4th ed. Washington, DC: APA; 2000.
4. National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence. *Depression in adults with a chronic physical health problem. NICE clinical guideline 91 (CG91) 2009* [cited 5 May 2015]. Available from: [www.nice.org.uk/nicemedia/pdf/CG91FullGuideline.pdf](http://www.nice.org.uk/nicemedia/pdf/CG91FullGuideline.pdf).
5. Howell D, Keller-Olaman S, Oliver T, Hack T, Broadfield L, Biggs K, et al. *A Pan-Canadian Practice Guideline: Screening, Assessment and Care of Psychosocial Distress (Depression, Anxiety) in Adults with Cancer*. Toronto: 2010.
6. Carlson LE. Screening alone is not enough: the importance of appropriate triage, referral, and evidence-based treatment of distress and common problems. *J Clin Oncol*. 2013;31(29):3616-7.
7. Bultz BD, Johansen C. Screening for distress, the 6th vital sign: where are we, and where are we going? *Psychooncology*. 2011;20(6):569-71.
8. National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence (NICE). *Improving supportive and palliative care for adults with cancer*. London, UK: NICE; 2004
9. National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN). *Distress Management Guideline* [Internet]: NCCN; 2014 [cited 16 Jun 2014]. Available from: [http://www.nccn.org/professionals/physician\\_gls/PDF/distress.pdf](http://www.nccn.org/professionals/physician_gls/PDF/distress.pdf).
10. National Research Council. *Cancer care for the whole patient: meeting psychosocial health needs*. Washington, DC: National Academy Press; 2008
11. Canadian Association of Psychosocial Oncology (CAPO). *Standards of psychosocial health services for persons with cancer and their families* [Internet]: CAPO; 2010 [cited 6 Jun 2014]. Available from: [www.capo.ca](http://www.capo.ca).
12. Turnbull G, Baldassarre F, Brown P, Hatton-Bauer J, Li M, Lebel S. *Psychosocial health care for cancer patients and their families*. Toronto (ON) Cancer Care Ontario, 2010 Oct 12.
13. Mitchell AJ. Screening for cancer-related distress: when is implementation successful and when is it unsuccessful? *Acta Oncol*. 2013;52(2):216-24.
14. Hart SL, Hoyt MA, Diefenbach M, Anderson DR, Kilbourn KM, Craft LL, et al. Meta-analysis of efficacy of interventions for elevated depressive symptoms in adults diagnosed with cancer. *J Natl Cancer Inst*. 2012;104(13):990-1004.
15. National Cancer Institute. *General information about depression 2014* [cited 22 Sep 2014]. Available from: <http://www.cancer.gov/cancertopics/pdq/supportivecare/depression/Patient>.
16. Currier MB, Nemeroff CB. Depression as a risk factor for cancer: from pathophysiological advances to treatment implications. *Annu Rev Med*. 2014;65:203-21.
17. Rayner L, Higginson I, Price A, Hotopf M. *The management of depression in palliative care*. European Palliative Care Research Collaborative, 2010.
18. Cuijpers P, van Straten A, van Oppen P, Andersson G. Are psychological and pharmacologic interventions equally effective in the treatment of adult depressive disorders? A meta-analysis of comparative studies. *J Clin Psychiatry*. 2008;69(11):1675-85; quiz 839-41.

19. Fournier JC, DeRubeis RJ, Hollon SD, Dimidjian S, Amsterdam JD, Shelton RC, et al. Antidepressant drug effects and depression severity: a patient-level meta-analysis. *JAMA*. 2010;303(1):47-53.
20. Cuijpers P, Dekker J, Hollon SD, Andersson G. Adding psychotherapy to pharmacotherapy in the treatment of depressive disorders in adults: a meta-analysis. *J Clin Psychiatry*. 2009;70(9):1219-29.
21. Ell K, Quon B, Quinn DI, Dwight-Johnson M, Wells A, Lee PJ, et al. Improving treatment of depression among low-income patients with cancer: the design of the ADAPt-C study. *Gen Hosp Psychiatry*. 2007;29(3):223-31.
22. Li M, Fitzgerald P, Rodin G. Evidence-based treatment of depression in patients with cancer. *J Clin Oncol*. 2012;30(11):1187-96.
23. de Bock GH, Musters RF, Bos HJ, Schroder CP, Mourits MJ, de Jong-van den Berg LT. Psychotropic medication during endocrine treatment for breast cancer. *Support Care Cancer*. 2012;20(7):1533-40.
24. Desplenter F, Bond C, Watson M, Burton C, Murchie P, Lee AJ, et al. Incidence and drug treatment of emotional distress after cancer diagnosis: a matched primary care case-control study. *Br J Cancer*. 2012;107(9):1644-51.
25. Ng CG, Boks MP, Smeets HM, Zainal NZ, de Wit NJ. Prescription patterns for psychotropic drugs in cancer patients; a large population study in the Netherlands. *Psychooncology*. 2013;22(4):762-7.
26. Fisher WI, Johnson AK, Elkins GR, Otte JL, Burns DS, Yu M, et al. Risk factors, pathophysiology, and treatment of hot flashes in cancer. *CA Cancer J Clin*. 2013;63(3):167-92.
27. Kligman L, Younus J. Management of hot flashes in women with breast cancer. *Curr Oncol*. 2010;17(1):81-6.
28. Bennett MI. Effectiveness of antiepileptic or antidepressant drugs when added to opioids for cancer pain: systematic review. *Palliat Med*. 2011;25(5):553-9.
29. Kelly CM, Juurlink DN, Gomes T, Duong-Hua M, Pritchard KI, Austin PC, et al. Selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors and breast cancer mortality in women receiving tamoxifen: a population based cohort study. *BMJ*. 2010;340:c693.
30. Breitbart W. Do antidepressants reduce the effectiveness of tamoxifen? *Psychooncology*. 2011;20(1):1-4.
31. Cronin-Fenton DP, Lash TL. Clinical epidemiology and pharmacology of CYP2D6 inhibition related to breast cancer outcomes. *Expert Rev Clin Pharmacol*. 2011;4(3):363-77.
32. Azoulay L, Dell'Aniello S, Huiart L, du Fort GG, Suissa S. Concurrent use of tamoxifen with CYP2D6 inhibitors and the risk of breast cancer recurrence. *Breast Cancer Res Treat*. 2011;126(3):695-703.
33. Irvin WJ, Jr., Walko CM, Weck KE, Ibrahim JG, Chiu WK, Dees EC, et al. Genotype-guided tamoxifen dosing increases active metabolite exposure in women with reduced CYP2D6 metabolism: a multicenter study. *J Clin Oncol*. 2011;29(24):3232-9.
34. Manne SL, Andrykowski MA. Seeing the forest for the trees: a rebuttal. *Ann Behav Med*. 2006;32(2):111-4; discussion 5-8.
35. Coyne JC, Lepore SJ, Palmer SC. Efficacy of psychosocial interventions in cancer care: evidence is weaker than it first looks. *Ann Behav Med*. 2006;32(2):104-10.
36. Multilingual Core Curriculum in Psycho-oncology: International Psycho-Oncology Society; [cited 27 Jan 2015]. Available from: <http://ipos-society.org/publications/multilingual-core-curriculum-in-psycho-oncology/>

37. Rodin G, Mackay JA, Zimmerman B, Mayer C, Howell D, Katz M, et al. Provider-patient communication. Program in Evidence-based Care Evidence-based Series No.: 19-2. Toronto (ON): Cancer Care Ontario, 2008 Mar [Archived 2011 Sep].
38. Oncotalk; Improving Oncologists' Communication Skills: University of Washington; [cited 27 Jan 2015]. Available from: <http://depts.washington.edu/oncotalk/>.
39. Park EM, Raddin RS, Nelson KM, Hamer RM, Mayer DK, Bernard SA, et al. Conducting an antidepressant clinical trial in oncology: challenges and strategies to address them. *Gen Hosp Psychiatry*. 2014;36(5):474-6.
40. Raddin RS, Park EM, Hamer RM, Nelson KM, Mayer DK, Rosenstein DL, et al. A pilot study to evaluate symptom-oriented selection of antidepressants in patients with cancer. *J Palliat Med*. 2014;17(2):167-75.
41. American Psychiatric Association (APA). *Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders (DSM-V)*. 5th ed. Washington, DC.: APA; 2013.
42. Miller IW, Bishop S, Norman WH, Maddever H. The Modified Hamilton Rating Scale for Depression: reliability and validity. *Psychiatry Res*. 1985;14:131-42.
43. Hopko DR, Bell JL, Armento ME, Robertson SM, Hunt MK, Wolf NJ, et al. The phenomenology and screening of clinical depression in cancer patients. *J Psychosoc Oncol*. 2008;26(1):31-51.
44. Radloff LS. The CES-D Scale: A self-report depression scale for research in the general population. *Appl Psych Meas*. 1977;1( ):385-401.
45. Kroenke K, Spitzer R, Williams J. The PHQ-9: validity of a brief depression severity measure. *J Gen Intern Med*. 2001(16):606-13.
46. Thekkumparath P, Walker J, Butcher I, Hodges L, Kleiboer A, O'Connor M, et al. Screening for major depression in cancer outpatients: the diagnostic accuracy of the 9-item patient health questionnaire. *Cancer*. 2011;117(1):218-27.
47. Zigmond A, Snaith R. The Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale. *Acta Psychiatr Scand*. 1983; 67:361-70.
48. Vodermaier A, Millman RD. Accuracy of the Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale as a screening tool in cancer patients: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Support Care Cancer*. 2011;19(12):1899-908.
49. Beck A, Epstein N, Brown G, Steer R. An inventory for measuring clinical anxiety: psychometric properties. *J Consult Clin Psychol*. 1988;56(893-7).
50. Parikh SV, Segal ZV, Grigoriadis S, Ravindran AV, Kennedy SH, Lam RW, et al. Canadian Network for Mood and Anxiety Treatments (CANMAT) clinical guidelines for the management of major depressive disorder in adults. II. Psychotherapy alone or in combination with antidepressant medication. *J Affect Disord*. 2009;117 Suppl 1:S15-25.
51. Faller H, Schuler M, Richard M, Heckl U, Weis J, Kuffner R. Effects of psycho-oncologic interventions on emotional distress and quality of life in adult patients with cancer: systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Clin Oncol*. 2013;31(6):782-93.
52. Griffiths KM, Calear AL, Banfield M. Systematic review on Internet Support Groups (ISGs) and depression (1): Do ISGs reduce depressive symptoms? *J Med Internet Res*. 2009;11(3):e40.
53. National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence. *Depression in adults: the treatment and management of depression in adults*. NICE Clinical Guideline 90 [CG90]. London, UK: October 2009.
54. Bergenthal N, Will A, Streckmann F, Wolkewitz KD, Monsef I, Engert A, et al. Aerobic physical exercise for adult patients with haematological malignancies. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*. 2014;11:CD009075.

55. Mishra SI, Scherer RW, Geigle PM, Berlanstein DR, Topaloglu O, Gotay CC, et al. Exercise interventions on health-related quality of life for cancer survivors. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* 2012;8:CD007566.
56. Mishra SI, Scherer RW, Snyder C, Geigle PM, Berlanstein DR, Topaloglu O. Exercise interventions on health-related quality of life for people with cancer during active treatment. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* 2012;8:CD008465.
57. Kabat-Zinn J. *Full catastrophe living (revised edition): using the wisdom of your body and mind to face stress, pain, and illness.* 2013.
58. Segal ZV, Williams JMG, Teasdale JD. *Mindfulness-based cognitive therapy for depression.* 2nd ed. ed. New York, New York: The Guilford Press; 2013.
59. Butler AC, Beck AT. *Cognitive therapy for depression.* *The Clinical Psychologist.* (1995;48(3):3-5.
60. Martell CR, Dimidjian S, Herman-Dunn R. *Behavioral activation for depression: a clinician's guide.* New York, New York: The Guilford Press; 2010.
61. Nezu A, Nezu C, Zurilla T. *Problem-solving therapy : a treatment manual.* New York, New York: Springer Publishing Company 2013.
62. Weissman MM, Markowitz JC, Klerman GL. *Comprehensive guide to interpersonal psychotherapy.* New York: Basic Books; 2000.
63. Kissane DW, Grabsch B, Clarke DM, Smith GC, Love AW, Bloch S, et al. Supportive-expressive group therapy for women with metastatic breast cancer: survival and psychosocial outcome from a randomized controlled trial. *Psychooncology.* 2007;16(4):277-86.
64. Crits-Christoph P, Luborsky L, Dahl L, Popp C, Mellon J, Mark D. Clinicians can agree in assessing relationship patterns in psychotherapy. The Core Conflictual Relationship Theme method. *Arch Gen Psychiatry.* 1988;45(11):1001-4.
65. Zwerenz R, Beutel ME, Imruck BH, Wiltink J, Haselbacher A, Ruckes C, et al. Efficacy of psychodynamic short-term psychotherapy for depressed breast cancer patients: study protocol for a randomized controlled trial. *BMC Cancer.* 2012;12:578.
66. Chochinov HM, Kristjanson LJ, Breitbart W, McClement S, Hack TF, Hassard T, et al. Effect of dignity therapy on distress and end-of-life experience in terminally ill patients: a randomised controlled trial. *Lancet Oncol.* 2011;12(8):753-62.
67. Breitbart W, Poppito S, Rosenfeld B, Vickers AJ, Li Y, Abbey J, et al. Pilot randomized controlled trial of individual meaning-centered psychotherapy for patients with advanced cancer. *J Clin Oncol.* 2012;30(12):1304-9.
68. Breitbart W, Rosenfeld B, Gibson C, Pessin H, Poppito S, Nelson C, et al. Meaning-centered group psychotherapy for patients with advanced cancer: a pilot randomized controlled trial. *Psychooncology.* 2010;19(1):21-8.
69. Lo C, Hales S, Jung J, Chiu A, Panday T, Rydall A, et al. Managing Cancer And Living Meaningfully (CALM): phase 2 trial of a brief individual psychotherapy for patients with advanced cancer. *Palliat Med.* 2014;28(3):234-42.
70. Gelenberg A, Freeman M, Markowitz JC, Rosenbaum JF, Thase ME, Trivedi M, et al. Practice guideline for the treatment of patients with major depressive disorder: American Psychiatric Association; 2010 [7 Jul 2014]. 3rd:[Available from: <http://psychiatryonline.org/pdfaccess.ashx?ResourceID=243261&PDFSource=6>.
71. Rahman T, Clevenger CV, Kaklamani V, Lauriello J, Campbell A, Malwitz K, et al. Antipsychotic treatment in breast cancer patients. *Am J Psychiatry.* 2014;171(6):616-21.
72. Miguel C, Albuquerque E. Drug interaction in psycho-oncology: antidepressants and antineoplastics. *Pharmacology.* 2011;88(5-6):333-9.

## PRACTICAL TOOLS (APPENDICES 1-7)

### Appendix 1. DSM-5 Diagnostic Criteria for Major Depressive Episode.

<b>I. DSM-5 diagnostic criteria for a major depressive episode (A and B criteria only)</b>	
<p><b>A.</b> At least five of the following symptoms, present during the same two-week period, representing a change from previous functioning, each present nearly every day; and at least one of the symptoms is either (1) or (2). Note: Do not include symptoms that are clearly attributable to another medical condition.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Depressed mood most of the day</li> <li>2. Markedly diminished interest or pleasure in almost all activities most of the day</li> <li>3. Significant weight loss or gain (change of &gt;5% in a month), or decrease or increase in appetite</li> <li>4. Insomnia or hypersomnia</li> <li>5. Psychomotor agitation or retardation</li> <li>6. Fatigue or loss of energy</li> <li>7. Feelings of worthlessness or excessive or inappropriate guilt</li> <li>8. Diminished ability to think or concentrate, or indecisiveness</li> <li>9. Recurrent thoughts of death (not just fear of dying), recurrent suicidal ideation, or a suicide attempt or plan</li> </ol> <p><b>B.</b> Symptoms cause clinically significant distress or impairment in social, occupational, or other important areas of functioning.</p>	
<b>II. DSM-5 depression severity criteria</b>	
Subthreshold depressive symptoms	Fewer than five symptoms of depression
Mild depression	Few, if any, symptoms in excess of the minimum required to make the diagnosis and symptoms result in only minor functional impairment
Moderate depression	Symptom number/intensity or functional impairment are between 'mild' and 'severe'
Severe depression	Most symptoms and the symptoms markedly interfere with functioning. Can occur with or without psychotic symptoms

DSM-5 = the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, Fifth Edition [41]

## Appendix 2. Select Validated Depression Screening Scales.

Measure	Scoring, Cut score in Cancer (Sensitivity/Specificity)	Comments
Hamilton Rating Scale for Depression (HRSD) [42]	Mild: 7 to 17 Moderate: 18 to 24 Severe: >25  Cut score: 10 (100/67) [43]	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 24-item measure, 17 items scored</li> <li>• Clinician-rated</li> <li>• Measures low mood, anxiety, insomnia, and somatic domains</li> </ul>
Center for Epidemiologic Studies Depression Scale (CES-D) [44]	Range: 0 to 20, higher scores indicating greater severity  Cut score: 16 (100/67) [43]	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 20-item self-report</li> <li>• Measures negative affect, well-being, somatic and interpersonal symptoms</li> <li>• Not congruent with DSM-5</li> </ul>
Patient Health Questionnaire 9 (PHQ-9) [45]	Mild: >5 Moderate: >10 Moderately Severe: >15 Severe: >20  Cut score: 8 (93/81) [46]	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Nine-item self-report</li> <li>• 100% concordant with DSM-5 diagnostic criteria</li> <li>• Includes diagnostic algorithm</li> </ul>
Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale (HADS) [47]	Normal: 0 to 7 Mild: 8 to 10 Moderate: 11 to 14 Severe: 15 to 21  Cut score on depression subscale: 7 (86/81) [48]	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 14-item self-report</li> <li>• Separate anxiety and depression subscales</li> <li>• Separate scoring ranges for total HADS</li> <li>• Excludes somatic symptoms which may falsely elevate scores in cancer patients</li> </ul>
Beck Depression Inventory II (BDI-II) [49]	Minimal: <14 Mild: 14 to 19 Moderate: 20 to 28 Severe: >29  Cut scores: 18 (96/89); 22 (92/100) [43]	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 21-item self-report</li> <li>• Assesses behavioural, cognitive, and somatic domains</li> <li>• Preponderance of somatic symptoms</li> </ul>

**Appendix 3. Psychological Features Distinguishing the Continuum of Depression.**

Normal Sadness 	Subthreshold Depression 	Major Depression
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Maintains intimacy and connection</li> <li>• Believes that things will get better</li> <li>• Can enjoy happy memories</li> <li>• Sense of self-worth fluctuates with thoughts of cancer</li> <li>• Looks forward to the future</li> <li>• Retains capacity for pleasure</li> <li>• Maintains will to live</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Shows similar low mood presentation as in major depression but does not meet full criteria for symptom number or duration</li> <li>• Includes persistent depressive disorder if &gt; 2 years duration</li> <li>• Includes episodes lasting &lt; 2 weeks</li> <li>• May include adjustment disorder, which displays marked distress or functional impairment, but is often self-limited, and does not meet other criteria for major depression</li> <li>• Note: the distinction between subthreshold depression and major depression of mild severity may be arbitrary</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Feels isolated</li> <li>• Feeling of permanence</li> <li>• Excessive guilt and regret</li> <li>• Self-critical ruminations/loathing</li> <li>• Constant, pervasive and nonreactive sadness</li> <li>• Sense of hopelessness</li> <li>• Loss of interest in activities</li> <li>• Suicidal thoughts/behaviour</li> </ul>

#### Appendix 4. Psychological Interventions for Depression in Cancer.

The following are selected examples and definitions of psychological interventions frequently used for depression in cancer. Not all modalities are currently supported by a research evidence-base in cancer patients, but their use is extrapolated from the treatment of depression in psychiatric and other medical populations. In practice, various components of different models may be used. For a more complete list, and levels of evidence for the interventions, refer to sources: NICE CP91 [4] and Canadian Network for Mood and Anxiety (CANMAT) clinical guidelines for management of depressive disorder in adults [50].

- **Group-based peer support (self-help) programs [51-53]** for patients with cancer and mild to moderate depression, and for patients with subthreshold depressive symptoms that complicate cancer care should:
  - be delivered to groups of patients with a common cancer type;
  - focus on sharing experiences and feelings associated with having cancer;
  - be supported by practitioners who should facilitate attendance at the meetings, have knowledge of the patients' cancer and its relationship to depression, and review the outcomes of the intervention with the individual patients; and
  - consist typically of one session per week delivered over a period of eight to 12 weeks.
- **Structured group physical activity programs [53-56]** for patients with mild to moderate depression and cancer, and for patients with subthreshold depressive symptoms that complicate care of the cancer, should:
  - be modified (in terms of duration of the program, and frequency and length of the sessions) for different levels of physical ability as a result of the cancer in liaison with the team providing care for the cancer;
  - be delivered in groups with support from a competent practitioner;
  - consist typically of two or three sessions per week of moderate duration (45 minutes to one hour) over 10 to 14 weeks (average 12 weeks); and
  - Be coordinated or integrated with any rehabilitation program for the cancer.
- **Mindfulness Based Stress Reduction and Mindfulness Based Cognitive Therapy [57,58]:** Mindfulness has roots in Buddhist meditation and is based on adopting a moment-to-moment, nonjudgmental awareness. Thoughts, feelings and behaviours are observed with gentle curiosity, rather than analysis. Mindfulness Based Stress Reduction combines stress reduction with mindfulness meditation techniques. Mindfulness Based Cognitive Therapy combines mindfulness meditation with cognitive therapy techniques.
- **Cognitive Behavioural Therapy (CBT) [59] :** CBT is a discrete, time-limited, structured psychological intervention, derived from the cognitive behavioural model of affective disorders and in which the patient:

- works collaboratively with the therapist to identify the types and effects of thoughts, beliefs, and interpretations on current symptoms, feeling states and/or problem areas;
  - develops skills to identify, monitor and then counteract problematic thoughts, beliefs, and interpretations related to the target symptoms/problems; and
  - learns a repertoire of coping skills appropriate to the target thoughts, beliefs and/or problem areas (i.e., cognitive restructuring and behavioural exposure).
- **Behavioural activation therapy (BAT) [60]:** BAT is based on the premise that depression is a consequence of compromised environmental sources of positive reinforcement. Treatment involves increasing patient activity and access to rewarding experiences, evaluating the consequences of depressive versus nondepressive behaviours, and de-emphasizing particular cognitions or mood states as necessary for re-engaging with one's environment.
  - **Problem solving therapy (PST) [61]:** PST is a discrete, time-limited, structured psychological intervention, which focuses on learning to cope with specific problem areas and in which therapist and patient work collaboratively to identify and prioritize key problem areas, to break problems down into specific, manageable tasks, to problem-solve, and to develop appropriate coping behaviours.
  - **Interpersonal therapy (IPT) [62]:** IPT is a discrete, time-limited, structured psychological intervention, derived from the interpersonal model of affective disorders that focuses on interpersonal issues and in which the therapist and patient:
    - work collaboratively to identify the effects of key problematic areas related to interpersonal conflicts, role transitions, grief and loss, and social skills, and their effects on current symptoms, feeling states and/or problems;
    - seek to reduce symptoms by learning to cope with or resolve these interpersonal problem areas.
  - **Behavioural couples' therapy:** Consider for patients with a regular partner when the relationship may contribute to the depression. Therapy is based on behavioural principles, and an adequate course should be 15 to 20 sessions over five to six months. Therapy is based on a model of interactional processes in relationships where:
    - the intervention aims to help participants understand the effects of their interactions on each other as factors in the development and/or maintenance of symptoms and problems
    - the aim is to change the nature of the interactions so that the participants may develop more supportive and less conflictual relationships.
  - **Supportive-expressive therapy [63]:** Supportive-expressive therapy in the context

of oncology patients involves the creation of a supportive environment in which participants are encouraged to confront their problems, strengthen their relationships, and find enhanced meaning in their lives. Emotionally expressive, rather than didactic, discussion regarding shared experiences is facilitated around themes such as fears of dying and death, reordering life priorities, improving support from and communication with family and friends, integrating a changing self and body image, and improving communication with physicians. Coping strategies and psychoeducation are provided in a nondidactic manner.

- **Core conflictual relationship theme (CCRT) [64]:** CCRT is a 16-week structured short-term psychodynamic psychotherapy focusing on a central pattern of intrapsychic and interpersonal conflicts. The initial phase identifies a recurrent maladaptive wish, the expected response of the other, and the response of the self in relationships (the CCRT). Middle sessions focus on exploring the CCRT in current relationships and the relationship to the therapist, with a termination phase focusing on separation. Booster sessions are included to consolidate treatment progress.
  - CCRT has been adapted specifically for depression in cancer populations by Zwerenz et al [65].
- **Dignity Therapy [66]:** An individual, legacy project intervention for palliative patients using a tape recorded interview and based on a nine-question interview protocol. The dignity interview focuses on issues that matter most to the patient or that the patient would most want remembered. Edited transcripts of the interview are given to patients to share with family.
- **Meaning-Centred Psychotherapy [67,68]:** A brief intervention focusing on historical, attitudinal, creative, and experiential sources of meaning developed for patients with advanced cancer. Developed as either an eight-week group or seven-week individual intervention.
- **Managing Cancer and Living Meaningfully (CALM) [69]:** A brief, manualized, semi-structured individual and couple-based psychotherapy designed to alleviate distress in patients with advanced cancer. CALM consists of three to eight sessions delivered over six months that address four broad domains: symptom management and communication with health care providers, changes in self and relations with close others, sense of meaning and purpose, and thoughts about the future and mortality. It has been shown to alleviate depression and anxiety about death, and to improve the patient's sense of meaning and peace (spiritual well-being).

## Appendix 5. Practical Tools for Clinicians Prescribing an Antidepressant.

### Selecting an Antidepressant

- Past psychiatric history (e.g., past positive treatment responses to an antidepressant)
- Family psychiatric history (e.g., past positive treatment responses to an antidepressant)
- Concurrent medications (e.g., potential drug-drug interactions)
- Somatic symptom profile (e.g., sedating antidepressant for those with prominent insomnia; weight gaining antidepressant for cachectic patients)
- Potential for dual benefit (e.g., duloxetine and TCAs for neuropathic pain, venlafaxine for hot flashes)
- Type of cancer (e.g., avoid bupropion in those with central nervous system cancers)
- Comorbidities (e.g., avoid psychostimulants or TCAs in cardiac disease)
- Cancer prognosis (e.g., consider psychostimulants if very short life expectancy)

### Initiating an Antidepressant

- Screen for possible medical contributors to presenting conditions (e.g., TSH, vitamin B<sub>12</sub>), as well as substance use
- Start on lowest dose to minimize detrimental side effects and titrate up to therapeutic dose after first week
- Discuss potential detrimental side effects (particularly initial gastrointestinal (GI) upset, headache, or anxiety) which should resolve within the first week
- Explain that detrimental side effects occur before therapeutic benefit, which can take four to six weeks to reach full beneficial effect
- Advise of need to take medications daily and continue even after remission of depressive symptoms
- Counsel about potential discontinuation symptoms if medications are stopped abruptly
- Reassure patients that dependence or tolerance does not occur
- Discuss concerns related to antidepressants and potential increased suicidality

### Managing Risk of Suicide

- Advise risk of increased suicidality from antidepressants is small, most often associated with adolescents, and occurs early in the course of treatment
- Explain that increased risk may arise from improved motivational activation, occurring before improvement in the depressed mood which underlies the suicidal thoughts
- Provide guidance on how to seek help
- Note that suicidal thoughts can be common, but completed suicide accounts for <0.02% of cancer deaths (this is 1.5 times the general population's risk), and

overall suicide risk is decreased by treatment of depression

- Inquire separately about suicidal ideation, intent, and plan
- Distinguish suicidal ideation from rational thoughts of death, and desire for hastened death
- Reassess adherence and mood after one week if suicidal ideation is present
- Refer to mental health specialist if considerable imminent risk

### **Maintaining an Antidepressant**

- Provide support in first week when risk of nonadherence is greatest; follow up every two to four weeks until remission
- Monitor agitation, increased anxiety, and insomnia. Consider short-term benzodiazepine for initial symptoms, if required
- Assess response after three to four weeks at a therapeutic dose; increase dose if no response; switch medication if no response after six weeks
- Regularly monitor for changes in medical status and cancer treatments and adjust accordingly
- Continue at effective dose for at least six months after full remission
- Patients with a history of recurrent depression should be advised to continue maintenance treatment for at least two years or indefinitely

### **Discontinuing an Antidepressant**

- Be aware that discontinuation syndromes (malaise, dizziness, agitation, headache, nausea, paresthesia) may occur with abrupt termination or missed doses at high dosage levels
- Understand that discontinuation syndromes are more common with antidepressants with a shorter half-life (i.e., venlafaxine, paroxetine); they do not occur with fluoxetine
- Taper gradually over four weeks to minimize discontinuation syndromes; symptoms may be more prominent toward the end of the taper
- Advise that symptoms are usually mild and self-limiting over approximately one week
- If symptoms are severe, taper more slowly or consider switching to longer half-life SSRIs such as fluoxetine and then stopping
- Monitor for possible depression relapse over the next few months

**Appendix 6. Antidepressant Classes Used for Patients with Cancer [70,71].**

<b>Drugs</b>	<b>Common Side Effects</b>	<b>Cautions</b>
<b>Selective Serotonin Reuptake Inhibitors (SSRIs)</b>		
Citalopram, Escitalopram, Fluoxetine, Sertraline, Paroxetine, Fluvoxamine	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• GI upset, headache, dizziness, anxiety on initiation</li> <li>• Sweating, sexual dysfunction, tremor, bruxism</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Citalopram/escitalopram corrected QT interval (QTc) prolongation at high doses</li> <li>• Paroxetine/Fluoxetine/Fluvoxamine drug interactions</li> <li>• Paroxetine discontinuation syndrome</li> <li>• Risk of GI bleeding, hyponatremia</li> </ul>
<b>Mixed Action Reuptake Inhibitors (RIs) - serotonin (S), noradrenaline (N), dopamine (D)</b>		
Venlafaxine, Desvenlafaxine, Duloxetine, Milnacipran (SNRI)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• GI upset, headache, dizziness, anxiety on initiation</li> <li>• Sweating, sexual dysfunction, constipation</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Venlafaxine discontinuation syndrome and hypertension risk</li> <li>• Duloxetine dose-dependent hepatotoxicity</li> </ul>
Bupropion (norepinephrine-dopamine reuptake inhibitor [NDRI])	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Agitation</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Seizure risk at high doses</li> </ul>
Reboxetine (norepinephrine reuptake inhibitor [NRI])	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Insomnia, sweating, dizziness, tachycardia</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Caution in comorbid cardiac disease</li> </ul>
<b>“Atypical” Antidepressants</b>		
Mirtazapine (noradrenergic and specific serotonergic antidepressant [NaSSA])	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Sedation, weight gain, dry mouth, constipation</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Rarely, reversible neutropenia</li> </ul>
Agomelatine	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Nausea, dizziness, headache, somnolence</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Contraindicated in renal or hepatic impairment</li> </ul>

Drugs	Common Side Effects	Cautions
<b>Tricyclic Antidepressants (TCAs)</b>		
3 <sup>o</sup> amines - Amitriptyline, Imipramine 2 <sup>o</sup> amines - Nortriptyline, Desipramine	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Sedation, constipation, anticholinergic, orthostatic hypotension, tachycardia</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>High toxicity in overdose, <i>do not prescribe Dosulepin</i></li> <li>Poor tolerability, especially with 3<sup>o</sup> amines</li> <li>Risk of QTc prolongation</li> </ul>
<b>Psychostimulants</b>		
Methylphenidate, Dexamphetamine, Modafinil	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Insomnia, agitation, tremor, anxiety, hypertension, tachycardia, arrhythmia</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Contraindicated in significant cardiovascular disease</li> <li>Risk of dependence</li> </ul>
<b>“Atypical” Antipsychotics (as Adjuncts)</b>		
Quetiapine, Olanzapine, Risperidone, Aripiprazole Lurasidone Asenapine	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Sedation, weight gain, metabolic syndrome</li> <li>Olanzapine and quetiapine may be helpful for insomnia, anorexia and nausea</li> <li>Aripiprazole may be less sedating</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Risk of QTc prolongation</li> <li>Caution with Risperidone, Lurasidone and Olanzapine in breast cancer due to risk of increase in prolactin levels. Asenapine and aripiprazole are preferred due to a minimal effect on prolactin levels</li> <li>Anticholinergic and sexual side-effects</li> </ul>
<b>Alternative Therapies</b>		
St. John’s Wort, Omega-3, S-adenosylmethionine (SAM-e)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Recommended in CANMAT guidelines for mild to moderate depression</li> <li>May be preferred by patients with cancer who are reluctant to consider pharmaceutical antidepressants</li> <li>Lack of standardization in formulation and dose in most countries and limited knowledge of drug interactions</li> </ul>	

## Appendix 7. Antidepressant-Oncology Drug Interactions.

Refer to Miguel and Albuquerque (2011) [72] and NICE CG 91 Appendix 16 [4] for further information.

Oncology drug	Antidepressants	Comments
All cytotoxic agents	Avoid mianserin	Risk of bone marrow suppression
Protein kinase inhibitors (PKIs) (e.g., imatinib, nilotinib, sorafenib, sunitinib, trastuzumab)	Avoid TCAs due to QTc prolongation	Nilotinib inhibits cytochromes P450 (CYPs) 3A4 and 2D6; caution with all antidepressants
Cyclophosphamide, procarbazine, dacarbazine	Caution with paroxetine, fluoxetine, sertraline, fluvoxamine, bupropion	Effectiveness reduced by CYP 2B6, 2C19, and 1A inhibitors
Alkylating agents (ifosfamide, thiotepa)	Caution with fluoxetine, sertraline, paroxetine, fluvoxamine	Effectiveness reduced by CYP 3A4 inhibitors
Corticosteroids, etoposide, PKIs, antimicrotubules (paclitaxel, docetaxel, vinblastine, vincristine)	Caution with fluoxetine, sertraline, paroxetine, fluvoxamine	Increased levels and toxicity by CYP 3A4 inhibitors
Irinotecan	Avoid SSRIs	Risk of rhabdomyolysis and severe diarrhea

**Common antidepressants with the least impact on CYP enzymes are generally the safest options with antineoplastic agents:**

Citalopram or escitalopram	Venlafaxine/desvenlafaxine	Mirtazapine
----------------------------	----------------------------	-------------

**Common antineoplastic agents for which there are no significant pharmacokinetic drug interactions with antidepressants:**

Temozolomide	Doxorubicin	Busulfan
5-fluorouracil	Duanorubicin	Estramustine
Gemcitabine	Epirubicin	Mechlorethamine
Cisplatin	Vorinostat	Mercaptopurine
Carboplatin	Melphalan	Thioguanine
Oxaliplatin	Chlorambucil	

Abbreviations: QTc = corrected QT interval, TCA = tricyclic antidepressant  
Section 1 - Practical Tools

#### *Funding*

The PEBC is a provincial initiative of Cancer Care Ontario supported by the Ontario Ministry of Health and Long-Term Care. All work produced by the PEBC is editorially independent from the Ontario Ministry of Health and Long-Term Care.

#### *Updating*

All PEBC documents are maintained and updated through an annual assessment and subsequent review process. This is described in the PEBC Document Assessment and Review Protocol, available on the CCO website at:

<https://www.cancercareontario.ca/sites/ccocancercare/files/assets/CCOPEBCDARP.pdf?redirect=true>

#### *Copyright*

This report is copyrighted by Cancer Care Ontario; the report and the illustrations herein may not be reproduced without the express written permission of Cancer Care Ontario. Cancer Care Ontario reserves the right at any time, and at its sole discretion, to change or revoke this authorization.

#### *Disclaimer*

Care has been taken in the preparation of the information contained in this report. Nonetheless, any person seeking to apply or consult the report is expected to use independent medical judgment in the context of individual clinical circumstances or seek out the supervision of a qualified clinician. Cancer Care Ontario makes no representation or guarantees of any kind whatsoever regarding the report content or use or application and disclaims any responsibility for its application or use in any way.

#### *Contact Information*

For information about this document, please contact Dr. Madeline Li, through the PEBC via:  
Phone: 905-527-4322 ext. 42822 Fax: 905 526-6775 E-mail: [ccopgi@mcmaster.ca](mailto:ccopgi@mcmaster.ca)

For information about the PEBC and the most current version of all reports,  
please visit the CCO website at <http://www.cancercare.on.ca/> or contact the PEBC office at:  
Phone: 905-527-4322 ext. 42822 Fax: 905 526-6775 E-mail: [ccopgi@mcmaster.ca](mailto:ccopgi@mcmaster.ca)